## Montana Public Defender Commission

## **Practice Standards**

December, 2012

## Section V, Caseloads

Goal: Caseloads must not be oppressive, and should match counsel's experience, training, and expertise.

## 1. Governing Principle:

Counsel caseloads should be governed by the following:

- A. <u>Individual Public Defender.</u> Caseload levels are the single biggest predictor of the quality of public defense representation. Not even the most able and industrious lawyers can provide effective representation when their work loads are unmanageable. Whenever a salaried or contracting counsel determines, in the exercise of counsel's best professional judgment, that the acceptance of additional cases or continued representation in previously accepted cases, will lead to furnishing representation lacking in quality or the breach of professional obligations, the attorney is required to inform the Regional Public Defender's Office, who shall inform the Chief Public Defender. The Chief Defender will then inform the Montana Public Defender Commission.
- B. <u>Chief Public Defender.</u> The caseload of public defense attorneys should allow each lawyer to give each client the time and effort necessary to ensure effective representation. Whenever the Chief Public Defender determines, in the exercise of his or her best professional judgment, that the acceptance of additional cases or continued representation in previously accepted cases will, by reason of their excessive size and complexity, interfere with the rendering of quality representation, or the breach of professional obligations, the Chief Public Defender is required to inform the Montana Public Defender Commission, which in turn will inform the Law and Justice Interim Committee, the Legislative Finance Committee, and the Office of Budget and Program Planning and shall take all reasonable steps to alleviate the situation.